CENTRAL FAX CENTER PAGE 01/04

MAR 1 5 2011

RECEIVED

INSIGHT NC.

TO: USPTO

01/03/2007 03:39

Attn: Patricia Duffy

FAX#: 571, 273, 83∞

DATE: March 15, 2011

FROM:

Diane Van Alstyne

FAX #:

250,493.3793

PHONE #:

OF PAGES: 4 REF:

in re REVIVAL of Application of Van Alstyne et al.

Application No. 09/489,850 Filed: January 24, 2000

For: METHODS TO CLEAR MENINGITIS CAUSING AGENTS USING ANTIBODIES TO PEPTIDES REPRESENTING EPITOPIC SITES FOR BACTERIAL AND VIRAL MENINGITIS CAUSING AGENTS

The following 4 pages contain correspondence with the Office of Petititons attempting to REVIVE Application No. 09/489,850. The office has received ALL fees, statements and discislmers required.

However, the office tells me that:

Any request for reconsideration of this decision regarding revival MUST include the required reply to the non-final Office action.

However, you have already informed me by phone on February 14, 2011, that you can not discuss this petition since the application is abandoned.

How do I include the required reply and revive the application?

Vandlelyne

I sincerely apologize for the inconvenience this situation is causing but I require your advice in order to proceed.

Diane Van Alstyne Insight Biotek Inc.

PAGE 02/04

MAR 1 5 2011

INSIGHT BIOTEK INC.

TO: Office of Petitions

Alesia M. Brown FAX#: (571) 273-8300

DATE: March 15, 2011

FROM:

Diane Van Alstyne

FAX #:

250. 493. 3793

PHONE #: Same

OF PAGES: 0/ REF:

In re Application of Van Alstyne et al.

Application No. 09/489,850 Filed: January 24, 2000

FIRED: JUNIORY 24, 2000 FOR: METHODS TO CLEAR MENINGITIS CAUSING AGENTS USING ANTIBODIES TO PEPTIDES REPRESENTING EPITOPIC SITES FOR BACTERIAL AND VIRAL MENINGITIS CAUSING AGENTS

Your decicion to revive the above-mentioned application was received Tues., March 15, 2011.

I ATEMPTED to reply to the non-final Office action by phoning examiner Duffy for clarification of the claim set.

On Feb. 14, 2011, examiner Duffey informed me by telephone that she could NOT discuss the application with me since it had gone abandoned.

How do I include the required reply?

i may be contacted by e-mail at <u>InsightBiotek@yahoo.com</u>

or by phone in Vancouver, BC. Canada at 250,493,3793

I apologize for the inconvenience this situation is causing but I require your advice in order to revive this application.

Diane Van Alstyne

Insight Biotek Inc.

MAR 1 5 2011



United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PAYENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.ugtb.gov

MAILED

DIANE VAN ALSTYNE 101-144 BRUNSWICK STREET PENTICTON BRITISH COLUMBIA V2A 5P7 CANADA MAR 08 2011 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

: DECISION ON PETITION

In re Application of

Van Alstyne, et al.

Application No. 09/489,850

Filed: January 24, 2000

For: METHODS TO CLEAR MENINGITIS CAUSING AGENTS USING ANTOBODIES TO PEPTIDES REPRESENTING EPITOPIC SITES FOR BACTERIAL AND VIRAL

MENINGITIS CAUSING AGENTS

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 14, 2011, to revive the above-identified application.

This application became abandoned March 19, 2007 for failure to timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed December 18, 2006. The non-final Office action set a three month shortened statutory period of time for reply. No extensions of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were timely requested. Notice of Abandonment was mailed July 26, 2007.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(d). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Director may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(II)(C) and (D).

As to item (1), the instant petition is not accompanied by the required reply to the non-final Office action mailed December 18, 2006. A copy of the non-final Office action is enclosed as a courtesy.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision <u>MUST</u> include the required reply to the non-final Office action.

2

Application No. 09/489,850

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)

are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37CFR 1.137(b)." This is not a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail:

Mail Stop PETITION

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 571-3205.

PAGE 4/4 * RCVD AT 3/15/2011 8:08:21 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:W-PTOFAX-001/35 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:2504933793 * DURATION (mm-ss):00-59

/ALESIA M. BROWN/

Alesia M. Brown Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions